1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	ALLEN HAMMLER,	Case No. 1:21-cv-00343-JLT (PC)
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER DISREGARDING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME
13	v.	(Doc. 9)
14	ZYDUS PHARMACY, et al.,	FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS TO DENY PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO
15	Defendants.	PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS (Doc. 10)
16		14-DAY DEADLINE
17		Clerk of the Court to Assign a District Judge
18		_
19	Before the Court is Plaintiff's motion to proceed <i>in forma pauperis</i> pursuant to 28 U.S.C.	
20	§ 1915.¹ (Doc. 10.) The certified trust account statement attached to his motion indicates that	
21	Plaintiff has \$1,830.45 in his inmate trust account. (<i>Id.</i> at 4.) This is more than enough to pay the	
22	\$402 filing fee for this action in full.	
23	Proceeding "in forma pauperis is a privilege not a right." <i>Smart v. Heinze</i> , 347 F.2d 114,	
24	116 (9th Cir. 1965). While a party need not be completely destitute to proceed in forma pauperis,	
25	Adkins v. E.I. DuPont de Nemours & Co., 335 U.S. 331, 339-40 (1948), "the same even-handed	
26	Plaintiff concurrently filed a motion for an extension of time to file his motion to proceed <i>in forma pauperis</i> . (Doc.	
27	9.) Because Plaintiff filed his motion to proceed IFP (Doc. 10) within the time previously provided by the Court (<i>see</i> Doc. 7), his motion for an extension of time is unnecessary. The Court therefore DISREGARDS the motion for an	
28	extension (Doc. 9).	

care must be employed to assure that federal funds are not squandered to underwrite, at public expense, either frivolous claims or the remonstrances of a suitor who is financially able, in whole or in material part, to pull his own oar," Doe v. Educ. Enrichment Sys., No. 15-cv-2628-MMA-MDD, 2015 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 173063, *2 (S.D. Cal. 2015) (quoting *Temple v. Ellerthorpe*, 586 F. Supp. 848, 850 (D.R.I. 1984)). Plaintiff has more than enough funds to pay the filing fee for this action in full. Accordingly, the Court RECOMMENDS that his motion to proceed in forma pauperis (Doc. 10) be DENIED. The Court DIRECTS the Clerk of the Court to assign a district judge to this action. These Findings and Recommendations will be submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to this case, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within 14 days of the date of service of these Findings and Recommendations, Plaintiff may file written objections with the Court. The document should be captioned, "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Failure to file objections within the specified time may result in waiver of rights on appeal. Wilkerson v. Wheeler, 772 F.3d 834, 839 (9th Cir. 2014) (citing Baxter v. Sullivan, 923 F.2d 1391, 1394 (9th Cir. 1991)). IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: __June 2, 2021 /s/ Jennifer L. Thurston CHIEF UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE